WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman. & Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das, Administrative Member.

Case No. OA 956 of 2014. SACHCHIDANANDA DE - VS- THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS

SACHCHIDANANDA DE — VS- THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.			
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature	
1	_	of parties when necessary 3	
12	For the Applicant : Mr. S. Das,		
8.1.2019.	Ms. L. Maitra, Advocates.		
	For the State Respondent: Mrs. M. Mallick, Advocate.		
	Auvocate.		
	The applicant was appointed as a part-time		
	lecturer of Materia-Medica in the Midnapore		
	Homoeopathic Medial College and Hospital on 25 th		
	September, 1972 and continued till 26 th June, 1973.		
	Thereafter from 27 th June, 1973 became a permanent		
	lecturer in the said college and superannuated on 1st		
	March, 1991. After attaining the age of superannuation,		
	the applicant was re-employed by the Department of		
	Health and Family Welfare, Government of West Bengal		
	and rendered service from 2 nd March, 1991 to 29 th		
	February, 1996. The applicant has stated that since		
	permanent lecturers similarly situated have been granted		
	pension and gratuity, the applicant is also entitled to the		
	same.		
	It is evident from records that the matter was		
	admitted and directions were issued to file reply and		
	rejoinder. Subsequently leave was granted to the		
	applicant to file supplementary affidavit to file some		
	1	1	

Form No.	
Officials.	•••••

Vs.

SACHCHIDANANDA DE.

STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 956 of 2014.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	documents to show that Midnapore Homoeopathic	
	Medial College and Hospital was taken over by the	
	Government of West Bengal. During the pendency of the	
	application, the original applicant Sachchidananda De,	
	expired on 20 th February, 2018. An application being MA	
	67 of 2018 arising in connection with the original	
	application was filed praying for substituting the legal	
	heirs and representatives of sole applicant. On 9 th August,	
	2018 order was passed allowing the prayer of the	
	applicants to substitute them in place of the sole	
	applicant and Registry was directed to incorporate the	
	names of the applicants in the cause title of the original	
	application. It appears that the Registry had carried out	
	the order dated 9 th August, 2018 as evident of the cause	
	title of the application.	
	Ms. L.Maitra, learned advocate appearing on	
	behalf of the applicant submits that since by order dated	
	10 th April, 1985 in exercise of the power conferred by	
	sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Midnapore	
	Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital (Taking over	
	of Management and subsequent Acquisition) Act, 1984	
	(for short the "1984 Act") as the State of West Bengal	

Form No	
OTTITINO.	••••

Vs.

SACHCHIDANANDA DE.

STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 956 of 2014.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	took over the management and control of the Institution	
	and as subsequently notification was issued on 30 th	
	March, 1993 acquiring the said Medical College from 31 st	
	March, 1993 and as the applicant became a government	
	servant, the applicant is entitled to the reliefs as prayed	
	for. Submission is since persons similarly situated in other	
	homoeopathic medical colleges and hospitals have been	
	granted reliefs, the applicant is entitled to the reliefs as	
	prayed for. In this context, our attention has been drawn	
	to the order dated 28 th August, 2000 passed by the	
	Tribunal in OA 2133 of 1999 as well as the order dated	
	21 st December, 2000 passed in a writ petition, being	
	WPST 817 of 2000, challenging the order dated 28 th	
	August, 2000.	
	Mrs. M. Mallick, learned advocate appearing	
	on behalf of the State respondents nos. 1 and 2 relying	
	on the reply to the original application submits that as	
	the applicant had superannuated on 1st March, 1991	
	when the Institution was yet to be acquired by the	
	Government, the applicant was not a Government	
	employee under section 4(2) (iv) of the Act and therefore	
	the question of payment of pension to the applicant does	

	SACHCHIDANANDA DE.
orm No.	

Vs.

STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 956 of 2014.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
no	ot arise.	
	Heard Ms. Maitra and Mrs. Mallick, learned	
ac	dvocates for the parties.	
	Question is whether the Institution was	
ac	cquired by the State Government and if so when and	
w	hether the issue raised by the State respondents in the	
w	ritten reply, particularly in paragraph 4(ii) thereof, was	
pr	roperly answered in the written rejoinder filed by the	
ар	pplicant.	
	In order to answer this question, it is	
ар	ppropriate to set out the relevant portion of 1984 Act	
w	hich is as under :-	
	"3. Taking over of management of the	
in.	nstitution. – (1) The State Government shall, by order	
ρι	ublished in the Official Gazette, take over the	
m	nanagement and control of the institution.	
(2	2) An order made under sub-section (1) shall remain in	
fo	orce for a period of five years from the date of its	
ρι	ublication in the Official Gazette or, in the case of	
ac	cquisition of the institution under section 4, till the date	
of	f such acquisition, whichever is earlier.	
	4. Acquisition of the institution (1) The	

	SACHCHIDANANDA DE.
Form No.	

Vs.

STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 956 of 2014.

Carial Na and Ouder of the Trib and with signature

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	State Government may, if it so thinks fit , at any time	
	within the period of five years referred to in sub-section	
	(2) of section 3, acquire the institution by notification.	
	(2) On and from the date of the notification	
	referred to in sub- section (1) (hereinafter referred to as	
	the date of vesting),-	
	(i) the institution shall stand transferred to, and	
	vest absec-lutely in, the State Government, free from all	
	encumbrances:	
	(ii) the institution shall be run by the State	
	Government as a State institution;	
	(iii) any contract, whether expressed or implied,	
	or other arrangement, whether made under any statue or	
	otherwise, in relation to the management of any property	
	or other affairs of the institution, and in force	
	immediately before the date of vesting shall be deemed	
	to have terminated on and from the date of vesting;	
	(iv) <u>every person</u> (not being a part-time or over-	
	aged employee) who has been an employee of the	
	institution before the date of vesting shall, on and from	
	the date of vesting become an employee of the State	
	Government and shall hold office on the same terms and	
	Government and shall hold office on the same terms and	

Form No.	
Official.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Vs.

SACHCHIDANANDA DE.

STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 956 of 2014.

...... Office action with date Order of the Tribunal with signature Serial No. and and dated signature

Date of order. of parties when necessary 1 conditions as would have been admissible to him if there had been no such vesting and shall continue to do so unless and until his employment under the State Government is duly terminated or until the terms and conditions of his service are duly altered by the State Government by rules made in this behalf; Provided that the services of every person who expresses his unwillingness to continue in service in terms of the provisions of this clause shall stand terminated with effect from the date of vesting or from any other date to be notified by him....;" (Emphasis supplied) Admittedly by order dated 10th April, 1985 under section 3(1) of the Act, the Government of West Bengal had taken over the management and control of the Institution. It is pertinent to note that the applicant had superannuated on 1st March, 1991. He was reemployed on 2nd March, 1991 and continued in service till 24th February, 1996. It is also evident that by notification dated 30th March, 1993 under the 1984 Act, the College was acquired with effect from 31st March, 1993. Let it be

noted that "Taking over of management" of an Institution

under section 3 of the Act is different from "Acquisition"

Form No.	
Officials.	•••••

Vs.

SACHCHIDANANDA DE.

STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 956 of 2014.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	of an Institution under section 4 of the Act. It is clear	
	from 4(2)(iv) of the 1984 Act that not only from the date	
	of notification the Institution shall stand transferred to	
	and vest absolutely in the State Government free from all	
	incumbrances and shall be run by the State Government	
	and any contract whether express or implied in force	
	immediately before the date of vesting shall be deemed	
	to have terminated on and from the date of vesting,	
	significantly every person not being a part-time or over	
	aged employee, who has been an employee of the	
	Institution before the date of vesting shall and on from	
	the date of vesting shall become an employee of the	
	State Government. Therefore, the date of vesting of the	
	Institution in the State is crucial in deciding the issue. In	
	the instant case the date of vesting pursuant to the	
	acquisition under 1984 Act is 31 st March, 1993 and	
	"before the date of vesting" the applicant was not an	
	employee of the Institution as he had superannuated on	
	1 st March, 1991. In this context, it is appropriate to refer	
	to paragraph 4(ii) of the written reply filed by the State,	
	which is as under :-	
	"It appears from record that the applicant	

Form No.	••••
-orm No.	***************************************

Vs.

SACHCHIDANANDA DE.

STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. OA 956 of 2014.

1

.....

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
Date of oraci.	<u> -</u>	of partice when pococcary

of parties when necessary retired on superannuation on 01.01.1991 when the Institution was yet to be acquired by the Government and as such the applicant was not a Government employee as per Section (4)(2) (iv) of the Act. So question of pension does not arise....". The said paragraph has not been dealt with effectively in paragraph 4 of the written rejoinder. In the judgement passed by the Tribunal in OA 2133 of 1999 relating to Mahesh Bhattacharyya Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital this issue was not raised and is therefore the said judgement is not applicable. Accordingly the judgement dated 21st December, 2000 passed by the Calcutta High Court in WPST 817 of 2000 is also not applicable. As acquisition of the Institution under section 4 was made with effect from 31st March, 1993 and as the applicant had retired on 1st March, 1991, the applicant is not entitled to the orders as prayed for. Therefore, the application is disposed of. (Subesh Kumar Das) (Soumitra Pal) Member(A). Chairman. Skg.

	SACHCHIDANANDA DE.	
Form No.		
	Vs.	
	STATE OF W.B. & ORS	

Case No. OA 956 of 2014.

.....

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
---------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------